

## **Overview Report:**

### *Introduction*

This report has been provided to assist members in the consideration of reports relating to major planning applications for development at settlements in the district. The report summarises the policy framework for the assessment of each development proposal for members consideration in addition to the detailed report relating to each individual application.

### *The planning policy position and the approach to be taken in the determination of the application*

- 1.1 The starting point for decision making is the development plan, i.e. the adopted Aylesbury Vale District Local Plan (and any 'made' Neighbourhood Plans as applicable). S38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that decisions should be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) are both important material considerations in planning decisions. Neither change the statutory status of the development plan as the starting point for decision making but policies of the development plan need to be considered and applied in terms of their degree of consistency with the NPPF.

### The Development Plan

- 1.2 The overall strategy of the Aylesbury Vale District Local Plan (AVDLP) is to seek to concentrate the majority of growth (65% housing and employment) at Aylesbury with the remaining 35% in the rural areas. The latter was to be concentrated at a limited number of settlements. Insofar as this overall strategy is one which is based on the principle of achieving sustainable development, it is considered that this is still in general conformity with the NPPF.
- 1.3 Policies RA13 and RA14 relating to the supply of housing district wide form part of that overall housing strategy, and BU1 in respect of Buckingham, are now out of date, given that these identified housing targets for the plan period up to 2011 and the evidence relating to the districts need has changed significantly since these policies were adopted, and are not consistent with the NPPF policies to significantly boost the supply of housing based on up to date evidence. RA 13 and RA14 sought to take a protective approach to development and can only be given very limited weight when considering proposals within or at the edge of settlements identified in Appendix 4. Development proposals on sites are to be considered in the context of policies within the NPPF which sets out the presumption in favour of sustainable development at paragraph 11. **The individual reports will address the position on housing policy as applied to the specific application on a case by case basis.**
- 1.4 A number of general policies of the AVDLP are considered to be consistent with the NPPF and therefore up to date so full weight should be given to them. Consideration therefore needs to be given to whether the proposal is in accordance with or contrary to these policies. Those of relevance are GP2, GP8, GP35, GP38 - GP40, GP59, GP84, GP86, GP87, GP88 and GP94. There are a number of other saved policies which might be relevant in a rural context including RA2, RA4, RA6, RA8, RA29, RA36 and RA37. Specific general policies relating to development at Aylesbury include AY1, AY17, AY20, and AY21. Other relevant policies will be referred to in the application specific report.

### Emerging policy position in Vale of Aylesbury District Local Plan (draft VALP)

- 1.5 The Council has set out proposed policies and land allocations in the draft Vale of Aylesbury Local Plan. The draft Vale of Aylesbury Local Plan was published and subject to public consultation in summer 2016. Following consideration of the consultation responses, and further work undertaken changes have been made to the draft plan. A report has been considered by the VALP Scrutiny Committee on 26 September and Cabinet on 10 October 2017 on the proposed submission plan. The Cabinet's recommendations were considered by Council on 18 October 2017. The proposed submission was the subject of consultation from, 2 November to 14 December 2017. Following this, the responses were submitted along with the Plan and supporting documents for examination by an independent planning inspector at the end of February 2018. The examination hearing ran from Tuesday 10 July 2018 to Friday 20 July 2018. The Interim Findings have been set out by the Inspector, and consultation on modifications will be required before adoption can take place. Further to this AVDC has provided the VALP

Inspector with its suggestions for the Modifications to the Plan and he will consider these over the next few weeks. The Inspector set out the timetable for the formal publication of the Modifications and the accompanying consultation. Following further discussions with the Inspector the council has published for consultations the Main Modifications, which have been agreed with the Inspector, on 6 November 2019. The period for making representation runs until 17 December 2019. The adoption of the Vale of Aylesbury Local Plan is planned to be early 2020.

- 1.7 Whilst the VALP hearing has taken place there are a number of unresolved objections to the housing strategy and other policies. Paragraph 48 of the NPPF advises on the weight to emerging plans depending on the stage of preparation, unresolved objections and consistency with the NPPF. In view of this the policies in this document can be given some weight in planning decisions given the stage it is at, and the evidence that sits behind it can be given weight. This will be highlighted in individual reports. Of particular relevance are the Settlement Hierarchy Assessment (September 2017). The Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA) (January 2017) is an important evidence source to inform Plan-making, but does not in itself determine whether a site should be allocated for housing or economic development or whether planning permission should be granted. These form part of the evidence base to the draft VALP presenting a strategic picture .

### National Planning Policy Framework

- 1.8 The most up to date national policy is set out in the revised NPPF published in February 2019 superseding the earlier July 2018 version. At the heart of the NPPF is the presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 11) in both plan-making and decision-taking.
- 1.9 The NPPF states at paragraph 8 that there are three objectives to sustainable development: economic, social and environmental, which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways (so that opportunities can be taken to secure net gains across each of the different objectives).
- 1.10 These objectives should be delivered through the preparation and implementation of plans and the application of the policies in this Framework; they are not criteria against which every decision can or should be judged. Planning policies and decisions should play an active role in guiding development towards sustainable solutions, but in doing so should take local circumstances into account, to reflect the character, needs and opportunities of each area.(paragraph 9).
- 1.11 The Government's view of what "sustainable development" means in practice is to be found in paragraphs 7 to 211 of the NPPF. Paragraph 12 states that the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not change the statutory status of the development plan as the starting point for decision making. Where a planning application conflicts with an up-to-date development plan (including any neighbourhood plans that form part of the development plan), permission should not usually be granted. Local planning authorities may take decisions that depart from an up-to-date development plan, but only if material considerations in a particular case indicate that the plan should not be followed.
- 1.12 The presumption in favour of sustainable development in decision-taking is explained at paragraph 11 of the NPPF. Plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development.  
For **decision-taking** this means:;
- c) approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay; or
  - d) where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date<sup>7</sup>, granting permission unless:
    - i. the application of policies in this Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed<sup>6</sup>; or
    - ii. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole.

Foot notes:

6: The policies referred to are those in this Framework (rather than those in development plans) relating to: habitats sites (and those sites listed in paragraph 176) and/or designated as Sites of Special Scientific Interest; land designated as Green Belt, Local Green Space, an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, a National Park (or within the Broads Authority) or defined as Heritage Coast; irreplaceable habitats; designated heritage assets (and other heritage assets of archaeological interest referred to in footnote 63); and areas at risk of flooding or coastal change.

7: This includes, for applications involving the provision of housing, situations where the local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites (with the appropriate buffer, as set out in paragraph 73); or where the Housing Delivery Test indicates that the delivery of housing was substantially below (less than 75% of) the housing requirement over the previous three years. Transitional arrangements for the Housing Delivery Test are set out in Annex 1.

- 1.13 In situations where the presumption (at paragraph 11d) applies to applications involving the provision of housing, the adverse impact of allowing development that conflicts with the neighbourhood plan is likely to significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, provided all of the following apply:
- a) the neighbourhood plan became part of the development plan two years or less before the date on which the decision is made;
  - b) the neighbourhood plan contains policies and allocations to meet its identified housing requirement;
  - c) the local planning authority has at least a three year supply of deliverable housing sites (against its five year housing supply requirement, including the appropriate buffer as set out in paragraph 73); and
  - d) the local planning authority's housing delivery was at least 45% of that required<sup>9</sup> over the previous three years.

And subject to transitional arrangement set out in Annex 1

- 1.14 Local planning authorities are charged with identifying a sufficient supply and mix of sites, taking into account their availability, suitability and likely economic viability (paragraphs 67-70) .
- 1.15 The NPPF sets out the means to delivering sustainable development. The following sections and their policies are also relevant to the consideration of all proposals:
- Building a strong competitive economy
  - Promoting sustainable transport
  - Delivering a sufficient supply homes
  - Achieving well designed places
  - Making efficient use of land
  - Promoting healthy and safe communities
  - Conserving and enhancing the natural environment
  - Conserving and enhancing the historic environment
  - Meeting the challenge of climate change and flooding
  - Supporting high quality communications
- 1.16 The NPPF sets out that transport issues should be considered from the earliest stages including the impact of development on the network, opportunities from transport infrastructure, promoting walking, cycling and public transport, environmental impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure, patterns of movement. Significant development should be focused on locations which are or can be made sustainable, through limiting the need to travel and offering a genuine choice of transport modes. This can help to reduce congestion and emissions, and improve air quality and public health. (Paragraphs 102-103)

- 1.17 Paragraph 177 of the NPPF states “The presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply where the plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on a habitats site (either alone or in combination with other plans or projects), unless an appropriate assessment has concluded that the plan or project will not adversely affect the integrity of the habitats site.”
- 1.18 The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) has not yet been fully updated to reflect the new NPPF.

#### Local Supplementary Documents & Guidance

- 1.19 Local guidance relevant to the consideration of this application is contained in the following documents :
- Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document (November 2007)
  - Supplementary Planning Guidance on Sport and Leisure Facilities (August 2004)
  - Sport and Leisure Facilities SPG Companion Document Ready Reckoner (August 2005)
  - Five year housing land supply position statement (April 2019)
  - Affordable Housing Policy Interim Position Statement (June 2014)
- 1.20 Those documents which have been the subject of public consultation and the formal adoption of the Council can be afforded significant weight insofar as they remain consistent with the policies of the NPPF.

#### *Housing supply*

- 1.21 To support the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes, it is important that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed, that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed and that land with permission is developed without unnecessary delay.
- 1.22 Paragraph 60 requires that strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment, conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance – unless exceptional circumstances justify an alternative approach which also reflects current and future demographic trends and market signals. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.
- 1.23 Where the Council cannot demonstrate a 5 year housing land supply (with the appropriate buffer, as set out in paragraph 73); or where the Housing Delivery Test indicates that the delivery of housing was substantially below (less than 75% of) the housing requirement over the previous three years, there is a presumption in favour of sustainable development in line with paragraph 11 of the NPPF. The absence of an NPPF compliant supply or delivery of housing would add to the weight attached to the benefit arising from the contribution made to the supply of housing and boosting the delivery of housing generally. Transitional arrangements for the Housing Delivery Test are set out in Annex 1.
- 1.24 In the absence of a figure for the Full Objective Assessment of Need which will emerge through the plan making process which will also need to consider potential unmet needs from adjoining authorities not within the Housing Market Area, the council has set out its approach in the published five year housing land supply position statement which is regularly updated. It also updates the estimated delivery of sites based on the latest information. The latest Five Year Housing Land Supply Position Statement was published April 2019, based on March 2018 data, which shows that the Council can demonstrate 5.64 years worth of deliverable housing supply against its local housing need. This calculation is derived from the new standard methodology against the local housing need and definition of deliverable sites set out in the NPPF and NPPG.
- 1.25 It is acknowledged that this 5 year housing land supply calculation does not include any element of unmet need, however at this stage it would not be appropriate to do so. Whilst the unmet need figure has progressed, it has not been tested through examination and it would not be appropriate to use a ‘policy on’ figure for the purposes of calculating a 5 year housing land supply for Aylesbury until the “policy on” figures and general policy approach has been examined and found sound. There are no up-to-date housing supply policies in AVDLP and therefore we still

have to take into account the presumption in favour of sustainable development and apply the planning balance exercise in paragraph 11 of the NPPF. For neighbourhood plans which are considered up to date the starting point for determining such applications is to consider in accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004) and paragraph 14 of the NPPF as set out above is also relevant.

### *Neighbourhood Planning*

- 1.26 Paragraph 29 and 30 states: Neighbourhood planning gives communities the power to develop a shared vision for their area. Neighbourhood plans can shape, direct and help to deliver sustainable development, by influencing local planning decisions as part of the statutory development plan. Neighbourhood plans should not promote less development than set out in the strategic policies for the area, or undermine those strategic policies<sup>16</sup>.
- 1.27 Paragraph 30 states that once a neighbourhood plan has been brought into force, the policies it contains take precedence over existing non-strategic policies in a local plan covering the neighbourhood area, where they are in conflict; unless they are superseded by strategic or non-strategic policies that are adopted subsequently.
- 1.28 The Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017 (the “Act”) came into force on 19 July 2017 and makes two provisions which are relevant:

Firstly, Section 1 of the Act amends section 70 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to require a local planning authority or other planning decision-taker to have regard to a post-examination neighbourhood plan when determining a planning application, so far as that plan is material to the application.

Secondly, Section 3 amends section 38 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 to provide for a neighbourhood plan for an area to become part of the development plan for that area after it is approved in each applicable referendum (a residential referendum and, where the area is a business area, a business referendum). In the very limited circumstances that the local planning authority might decide not to make the neighbourhood development plan, it will cease to be part of the development plan for the area.

- 1.29 Further advice is also set out in the NPPG.

### *Prematurity*

- 1.30 Government policy emphasises the importance of the plan led process, as this is the key way in which local communities can shape their surroundings and set out a shared vision for their area. It also emphasises its importance to the achievement of sustainable development.
- 1.31 Paragraph 49 states that arguments that an application is premature are unlikely to justify a refusal of planning permission other than in the limited circumstances where both:
  - a) the development proposed is so substantial, or its cumulative effect would be so significant, that to grant permission would undermine the plan-making process by predetermining decisions about the scale, location or phasing of new development that are central to an emerging plan; and
  - b) the emerging plan is at an advanced stage but is not yet formally part of the development plan for the area.
- 1.32 Refusal of planning permission on grounds of prematurity will seldom be justified where a draft plan has yet to be submitted for examination; or – in the case of a neighbourhood plan – before the end of the local planning authority publicity period on the draft plan. Where planning permission is refused on grounds of prematurity, the local planning authority will need to indicate clearly how granting permission for the development concerned would prejudice the outcome of the plan-making process (paragraph 50)

### Conclusion on policy framework

- 1.33 In considering each individual report, Members are asked to bear in mind that AVDLP (and any 'made' Neighbourhood Plans as applicable) constitutes the development plan. The emerging VALP **can be given some weight in planning decisions given the stage it is at**, and the evidence that sits behind it can be given weight. The Council can currently demonstrate a 5 year supply of housing land based on the latest housing land supply calculation.
- 1.34 Therefore, the Council's position is that full weight should be given to housing supply and other policies set out in any made Neighbourhood Plan Decisions should be taken in accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004) and the NPPF as a whole, including paragraph 11 and 14.
- 1.35 Where a Neighbourhood Plan is not in place, decisions for housing developments should be taken in accordance with paragraph 11 of the NPPF, granting permission unless the application of policies in this Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole and where necessary each report advises Members on the planning balance.

### **Whether the proposals would constitute a sustainable form of development**

- Each report examines the relevant individual requirements of delivering sustainable development as derived from the NPPF which are:
  - Building a strong competitive economy
  - Promoting sustainable transport
  - Delivering a sufficient supply homes
  - Achieving well designed places
  - Making efficient use of land
  - Promoting healthy and safe communities
  - Conserving and enhancing the natural environment
  - Conserving and enhancing the historic environment
  - Meeting the challenge of climate change and flooding
  - Supporting high quality communications
- 1.36 These are considered in each report and an assessment made of the benefits associated with each development together with any harm that would arise from a failure in meeting these objectives and how these considerations should be weighed in the overall planning balance.

### **Building a strong, competitive economy / Ensure the vitality of town centres / Delivering a wide choice of high quality homes**

- 1.37 Members will need to assess whether the development would will support the aims of securing economic growth and productivity , but also that this would be achieved in a sustainable way. Paragraph 80 states that planning policies and decisions should help to create the conditions in which businesses can invest, expand and adapt. Significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth and productivity, taking into account both local business needs and wider opportunities for development. Paragraph 83 states that planning policies and decisions should enable the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business in rural areas, both through conversion of existing buildings and well-designed new buildings; and the development and diversification of agricultural and other land-based rural businesses.
- 1.38 Members will also need to consider whether each development proposal provides for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic trends, markets and community needs, of an appropriate size, type and tenure including the provision of affordable housing. Key to the consideration of this point is the use of local housing needs assessment targets and the Council's

ability or otherwise to demonstrate a 5 year supply of housing land. Further advice is given on affordable housing provision, including the requirement for 10% of the homes to be available for affordable home ownership on major housing development proposals. The definition of affordable is set out in Appendix 2. The new Housing Delivery Test (HDT) applies from the day following publication of the HDT results in November 2018. A transitional arrangement is set out in paragraph 215 and 216 phasing the % threshold where delivery is below of housing required over 3 years increasing from 25% November 2018, to 45% November 2019 and 75% November 2020.

### **Promote sustainable transport**

- 1.39 It is necessary to consider whether these developments are located where the need to travel will be minimised and the use of sustainable transport modes can be maximised, taking account of the policies in the NPPF. Paragraph 108 requires that in assessing sites that may be allocated for development in plans, or specific applications for development, it should be ensured that appropriate opportunities to promote sustainable transport modes can be taken up, safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved and that any significant impacts from the development on the transport network (in terms of capacity and congestion), or on highway safety, can be cost effectively mitigated to an acceptable degree. Paragraph 109 states that development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe.
- 1.40 The promotion of sustainable transport is a core principle of the NPPF and patterns of growth should be actively managed to make the fullest possible use of public transport, walking and cycling and to focus significant development in locations which are or can be made sustainable.

### **Conserving and enhancing the natural environment**

- 1.41 Members will need to consider how the development proposals contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment through protecting and enhancing valued landscapes and geological interests, minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains and preventing any adverse effects of pollution.
- 1.42 By their very nature, the majority of extensions of a settlement will result in development in the open countryside given that they are generally outside the built limits of the existing settlement. However, the actual and perceived extent to which they 'intrude' into the open countryside will vary and this will need to be assessed having regard to visibility and other physical factors.
- 1.43 In general, it will be important to ensure that the individual setting and character of each settlement is not adversely affected by the outward expansion of the town or village. This will necessarily involve individual assessments of the effects on the specific character and identity of each settlement, but will not necessarily be adverse simply as a result of a decrease in physical separation as any impacts may be successfully mitigated.
- 1.44 Members will need to consider the overall impact of each development assess the ability of the proposed development to be successfully integrated through mitigation.

### **Conserving and enhancing the historic environment**

- 1.45 A positive strategy under paragraph 185 of the NPPF is required for conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment and an assessment will need to be made of how the development proposals sustain and enhance the significance of heritage assets and the positive contribution that conservation of assets can make to sustainable communities as well as the need to make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.
- 1.46 The effects of specific developments will need to be assessed having regard to the site characteristics, specific impacts and ability to successfully mitigate. The Committee will need to consider the significance of any heritage assets affected including any contribution made by their setting. When considering the impact on the significance, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation and the more important the asset the greater the weight should be.

### **Promoting healthy and safe communities.**

- 1.47 Decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places, promoting social interaction, safe and accessible development and support healthy life-styles. This should include the provision of sufficient choice of school places, access to high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and recreation and the protection and enhancement of public rights of way, and designation of local spaces.
- 1.48 It will therefore be necessary to consider how each scheme addresses these issues.

#### **Making effective use of land**

- 1.49 Section 11 of the NPPF requires that planning policies and decisions should promote an effective use of land in meeting the need for homes and other uses, while safeguarding and improving the environment and ensuring safe and healthy living conditions. Strategic policies should set out a clear strategy for accommodating objectively assessed needs, in a way that makes as much use as possible of previously-developed or 'brownfield' land. Planning decisions should take into account the identified need for different types of housing and other development, local market conditions and viability, infrastructure requirements, maintaining the prevailing character and setting, promoting regeneration and securing well designed, attractive and healthy places.

#### **Achieving well designed places**

- 1.50 The NPPF in section 12 states that the creation of high quality buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, creates better places in which to live and work and helps make development acceptable to communities.
- 1.51 Planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments will function well and add to the overall quality of the area over the lifetime of the development; are visually attractive as a result of good architecture, layout and appropriate and effective landscaping; are sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation or change (such as increased densities); establish or maintain a strong sense of place, using the arrangement of streets, spaces, building types and materials to create attractive, welcoming and distinctive places to live, work and visit; optimise the potential of the site to accommodate and sustain an appropriate amount and mix of development (including green and other public space) and support local facilities and transport networks; and create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience.
- 1.52 Permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions, taking into account any local design standards or style guides in plans or supplementary planning documents. Conversely, where the design of a development accords with clear expectations in plan policies, design should not be used by the decision-maker as a valid reason to object to development. Great weight should be given to outstanding or innovative designs which promote high levels of sustainability, or help raise the standard of design more generally in an area, so long as they fit in with the overall form and layout of their surroundings. Members will need to consider whether these issues have been dealt with satisfactorily.

#### **Meeting the challenge of climate change**

- 1.53 Developments will need to demonstrate resilience to climate change and support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy.
- 1.54 This will not only involve considerations in terms of design and construction but also the locational factors which influence such factors. Development should be steered away from vulnerable areas such as those subject to flood risk whilst ensuring that it adequately and appropriately deals with any impacts arising.

#### **S106 / Developer Contributions**



- 1.55 Paragraph 56 of the NPPF states that planning obligations must only be sought where they meet all of the following tests
- a) necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;
  - b) directly related to the development; and
  - c) fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development
- 1.56 Paragraph 57 of the NPPF states that where up-to-date policies have set out the contributions expected from development, planning applications that comply with them should be assumed to be viable. It is up to the applicant to demonstrate whether particular circumstances justify the need for a viability assessment at the application stage

### **Overall planning balance**

- 1.57 All of these matters, including housing land supply and delivery will need to be taken into account in striking an overall planning balance..

### **Conclusions**

- 1.58 The concluding paragraphs of each report, where Members are asked to either reach a view on how they would have decided or can determine an application, will identify whether the proposed development is or is not in accordance with the development plan, and the weight to be attached to any material considerations. The planning balance will then be set out, leading to a recommendation as to whether permission would have been, or should be, granted (as the case may be), and the need to impose conditions or secure planning obligations or if permission would have been, or should be refused, the reasons for doing so.